arXiv:1302.4435v1 [math.DG] 18 Feb 2013

Two Families of Finsler Metrics Projectively Related to a Kropina Metric

A. Tayebi, H. Sadeghi and E. Peyghan

February 20, 2013

Abstract

In this paper, we find the necessary and sufficient conditions under which two classes of (q, α, β)-metrics are projectively related to a Kropina metric.

Keywords: Kropina metric, Matsumoto metric, (q, α, β)-metric.[1]

1 Introduction

Two regular metrics are called projectively related if there is a diffeomorphism between them such that the pull-back metric is pointwise projective to another one. In Riemannian geometry, two Riemannian metrics α and $\bar{\alpha}$ on a manifold M are projectively related if and only if their spray coefficients have the relation $G^i_\alpha = \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} + P_0 y^i$, where $P = P(x)$ is a scalar function on M and $P_0 := P_{x^k} y^k$. In Finsler geometry, two Finsler metrics F and $\bar{F}$ on a manifold M are called projectively related if $G^i = \bar{G}^i + P y^i$, where G^i and $\bar{G}^i$ are the geodesic spray coefficients of F and $\bar{F}$, respectively and $P = P(x, y)$ is a scalar function on the slit tangent bundle TM_0. In this case, any geodesic of the first is also geodesic for the second and vice versa.

In order to find explicit examples of projectively related Finsler metrics, we consider (α, β)-metrics. An (α, β)-metric is defined by $F := \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \beta/\alpha$ where $\phi = \phi(s)$ is a C^∞ scalar function on $(-b_0, b_0)$ with certain regularity, $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x) y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i(x) y^i$ is a 1-form on a manifold M. Thus a natural question arises:

Under which conditions, two (α, β)-metrics are projectively related?

The projective changes between two special (α, β)-metrics have been studied by many geometers. For example, Shen has been studied the projectively related Einstein-Finsler metrics [11]. A Randers metric $F = \alpha + \beta$ on a manifold M is just a Riemannian metric $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij} y^i y^j}$ perturbated by a one form $\beta = b_i(x) y^i$ on M such that $\|\beta\|_\alpha < 1$ [14]. Then Shen-Yu studied projectively

---

[1] 2010 Mathematics subject Classification: 53C60, 53C25.

related Randers metrics [12]. By the same method, Cui-Shen find necessary and sufficient conditions under which the Berwald metric $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^2}{\alpha}$ and a Randers metric $\bar{F} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ are projectively related [3]. Later on, Zohrevand-Rezaii do the same for a Matsumoto metric $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ and a Randers metric [18]. Recently, Chen-Cheng find necessary and sufficient conditions under which the metrics in the form $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^p}{\alpha^{p-1}}$ are projectively related to a Randers metric [2]. If we substitute β with $-\beta$ and take $p = -1$, then we get the Matsumoto metric which was introduced by Matsumoto as a realization of Finsler's idea "a slope measure of a mountain with respect to a time measure" [7][13][17].

There is an important (α, β)-metric, called Kropina metric $\bar{F} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$. Kropina metrics were first introduced by L. Berwald in connection with a two-dimensional Finsler space with rectilinear extremal and were investigated by V. K. Kropina [4]. In [8], Mu-Cheng get the conditions that a Randers-Kropina metric $F = \alpha + \epsilon\beta + \kappa\alpha^2/\beta$ is projectively equivalent to a Kropina metric $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$. Then, the authors find necessary and sufficient conditions under which a family of Finsler metrics in the form $F = \frac{\beta^p}{(\beta-\alpha)^{p-1}}$ $(p \neq 1, -1)$ are projectively related to a Randers metric $\bar{F} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$ [**?**].

There exists a special subclass of (α, β)-metrics, namely (q, α, β)-metrics. Let $\phi : [-1, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$, $\phi(s) = (1 + s)^q$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$ and $||\beta||_\alpha < 1$. It is easy to see that

$$\phi' = q(1 + s)^{q-1}, \quad \phi'' = q(q - 1)(1 + s)^{q-2} > 0.$$

Since $\phi(s) = (1+s)^q > 0$, then $\phi - s\phi' = (1+s)^{q-1}[1+s(1-q)] > 0, \quad (|s| < 1)$. Thus $F := \alpha\phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}) = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^q}{\alpha^{q-1}}$ is a Finsler metric. We call it (q, α, β)-metric. When $q = 1$ or $q = 2$, F becomes Randers metric and Berwald metric, respectively. If we substitute β with $-\beta$ and take $q = -1$, the resulting metric is Matsumoto metric.

In this paper, we are going to find the conditions under which on a manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$, the (q, α, β)-metric $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^q}{\alpha^{q-1}}$ and a Kropina metric $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ being projectively related. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^q}{\alpha^{q-1}}$ $(q \neq 1)$ be a (q, α, β)-metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ be a Kropina metric on a n-dimensional manifold M $(n \geq 3)$ where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non-zero collinear 1-forms. Then F is projectively related to $\bar{F}$ if and only if they are Douglas metrics and the geodesic coefficients of α and $\bar{\alpha}$ have the following relation*

$$G^i_\alpha - \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{q(q-1)\alpha^2 r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + [1 + (q^2 - q)b^2]\alpha^2} b^i + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i \tag{1}$$

where $b^i := a^{ij}b_j$, $\bar{b}^i := \bar{a}^{ij}\bar{b}_j$, $\bar{b}^2 := \|\bar{\beta}\|_{\bar{\alpha}}$, $\tau := \tau(x)$ is a scalar function and $\theta := \theta_i y^i$ is a 1-form on M.

Let us define $\phi(s) := s(\frac{s}{s-1})^{q-1}$. By a simple calculation, we get $\phi - s\phi' > 0$. Then $F = \frac{\beta^q}{(\beta-\alpha)^{q-1}}$ is a Finsler metric. This metric is also a special (q, α, β)-metric. If $q = 2$, then $F = \frac{\beta^2}{\beta-\alpha}$ is called *infinite series metric.* Indeed, Let us consider the r-th series (α, β)-metric $F = \beta \sum_{k=0}^{r} (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^k$, where we assume $\alpha < \beta$. If $r = 1$, then $F = \alpha + \beta$ is a Randers metric. If we put $r = \infty$, then we get infinite series metric. We have not at all investigated the geometrical meaning about the infinite series metric by this time. But this metric is remarkable as the difference between a Randers metric and a Matsumoto metric.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $F = \frac{\beta^q}{(\beta-\alpha)^{q-1}}$ $(q \neq 1, -1)$ *be a* (q, α, β)*-metric and* $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ *be a Kropina metric on a n-dimensional manifold* M $(n \geq 3)$ *where* α *and* $\bar{\alpha}$ *are two Riemannian metrics,* β *and* $\bar{\beta}$ *are two non-zero collinear 1-forms. Then* F *is projectively related to* $\bar{F}$ *if and only if they are Douglas metrics and the geodesic coefficients of* α *and* $\bar{\alpha}$ *have the following relation*

$$G^i_\alpha - \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i - \frac{q\alpha^3 r_{00}}{2\big[\beta^2(\beta-\alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha\big]} b^i, \qquad (2)$$

where $b^i := a^{ij}b_j$, $\bar{b}^i := \bar{a}^{ij}\bar{b}_j$, $\bar{b}^2 := \|\bar{\beta}\|_{\bar{\alpha}}$, $\tau := \tau(x)$ *is a scalar function and* $\theta := \theta_i y^i$ *is a 1-form on* M.

2 Preliminary

An (α, β)-metric is a Finsler metric on a manifold M defined by $F := \alpha\phi(s)$, where $s = \beta/\alpha$, $\phi = \phi(s)$ is a C^∞ function on the $(-b_0, b_0)$ with certain regularity, $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}y^i y^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form on M. For an (α, β)-metric, let us define $b_{i|j}$ by $b_{i|j}\theta^j := db_i - b_j\theta_i^j$, where $\theta^i := dx^i$ and $\theta_i^j := \Gamma^j_{ik}dx^k$ denote the Levi-Civita connection form of α. Let

$$r_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}), \quad s_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}).$$

Clearly, β is closed if and only if $s_{ij} = 0$. An (α, β)-metric is said to be trivial if $r_{ij} = s_{ij} = 0$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{i0} := r_{ij}y^j, \quad r_{00} := r_{ij}y^i y^j, \quad r_j := b^i r_{ij}, \\ &s_{i0} := s_{ij}y^j, \quad s_j := b^i s_{ij}, \\ &r_0 := r_j y^j, \quad s_0 := s_j y^j. \end{aligned}$$

For an (α, β)-metric $F = \alpha\phi(s)$, $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$, if we put

$$Q := \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}$$

then

$$Q' = \frac{\phi\phi''}{(\phi - s\phi')^2}, \quad Q'' = \frac{\phi'\phi'' + \phi\phi'''}{(\phi - s\phi')^2} + \frac{2s\phi\phi''^2}{(\phi - s\phi')^3}.$$

Now, let $\phi = \phi(s)$ be a positive C^∞ function on $(-b_0, b_0)$. For a number $b \in [0, b_0)$, let

$$\Delta := 1 + sQ + (b^2 - s^2)Q'. \tag{3}$$

Let $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ and $\bar{G}^i_\alpha = \bar{G}^i_\alpha(x, y)$ denote the coefficients of F and α respectively in the same coordinate system. By definition, we have

$$G^i = G^i_\alpha + \alpha Q s^i_0 + (-2Q\alpha s_0 + r_{00})(\Theta\frac{y^i}{\alpha} + \Psi b^i), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta &:= \frac{Q - sQ'}{2\Delta} = \frac{\phi\phi' - s(\phi\phi'' + \phi'\phi')}{2\phi\Big[(\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''\Big]} \\
\Psi &:= \frac{Q'}{2\Delta} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\phi''}{(\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (49), it follows that every trivial (α, β)-metric satisfies $G^i = G^i_\alpha$ and then it reduces to a Berwald metric.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For an (q, α, β)-metric $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^q}{\alpha^{q-1}}$, the following are hold

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \frac{q}{s(1-q)+1}, \\
\Theta &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{q(1-2(q-1)s)}{s^2(1-q^2) + s(2-q) + 1 + b^2q(q-1)}, \\
\Psi &:= \frac{1}{2}\frac{q(q-1)}{s^2(1-q^2) + s(2-q) + 1 + b^2q(q-1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

For a Kropina metric $\bar{F} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Q} &:= -\frac{1}{2s}, \\
\bar{\Theta} &:= -\frac{s}{2\bar{b}^2}, \\
\bar{\Psi} &:= \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The geodesic curves of a Finsler metric $F = F(x, y)$ on a smooth manifold M, are determined by the system of second order differential equations

$$\frac{d^2x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i\big(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\big) = 0,$$

where the local functions $G^i = G^i(x, y)$ are called the spray coefficients, and given by

$$G^i = \frac{1}{4} g^{il} \Big\{ \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial x^k \partial y^l} y^k - \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^l} \Big\}.$$

A Finsler metric F is called a Berwald metric, if G^i are quadratic in $y \in T_xM$ for any $x \in M$.

Let

$$D_{j\ kl}^{i} := \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \big(G^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial G^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \big). \tag{7}$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{D} := D_{j\ kl}^{i} dx^j \otimes \partial_i \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l$ is a well-defined tensor on slit tangent bundle TM_0. We call $\mathcal{D}$ the Douglas tensor. The Douglas tensor $\mathcal{D}$ is a non-Riemannian projective invariant, namely, if two Finsler metrics F and $\bar{F}$ are projectively equivalent, $G^i = \bar{G}^i + Py^i$, where $P = P(x, y)$ is positively y-homogeneous of degree one, then the Douglas tensor of F is same as that of $\bar{F}$. Finsler metrics with vanishing Douglas tensor are called Douglas metrics [9][10][15][16]. The notion of Douglas metrics was first proposed by B*á*cs*ó*-Matsumoto as a generalization of Berwald metrics [1].

To prove Theorem 1.1, we remark the following.

Lemma 3.1. [6] Let $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$ is a Kropina metric on a n-dimensional manifold M. Then
(1) $(n \geq 3)$ Kropina metric F with $(b^2 \neq 0)$ is a Douglas metric if and only if

$$\bar{s}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2} (\bar{b}_i \bar{s}_j - \bar{b}_j \bar{s}_i); \tag{8}$$

(2) $(n = 2)$ Kropina metric F is a Douglas metric.

For an (α, β)-metric , the Douglas tensor is determined by

$$D_{j\ kl}^{i} := \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l} \big(T^i - \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\partial T^m}{\partial y^m} y^i \big), \tag{9}$$

where

$$T^i := \alpha Q s_0^i + \Psi (r_{00} - 2\alpha Q s_0) b^i, \tag{10}$$

and

$$T^m_{y^m} = Q' s_0 + \Psi' \alpha^{-1} (b^2 - s^2)(r_{00} - 2\alpha Q s_0) + 2\Psi \big[r_0 - Q'(b^2 - s^2) s_0 - Q s s_0 \big]. \tag{11}$$

Now, let F and $\bar{F}$ be two (α, β)-metrics which have the same Douglas tensor, i.e., $D^i_{jkl} = \bar{D}^i_{jkl}$. From (7) and (9), we have

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k} \Big[T^i - \bar{T}^i - \frac{1}{n+1} (T^m_{y^m} - \bar{T}^m_{y^m}) y^i \Big] = 0. \tag{12}$$

Then there exists a class of scalar function $H^i_{jk} := H^i_{jk}(x)$ such that

$$T^i - \bar{T}^i - \frac{1}{n+1}(T^m_{y^m} - \bar{T}^m_{y^m})y^i = H^i_{00}, \tag{13}$$

where $H^i_{00} = H^i_{jk}(x)y^iy^j$, T^i and $T^m_{y^m}$ are given by (10) and (11) respectively. In this paper, we assume that $\lambda := \frac{1}{n+1}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^q}{\alpha^{q-1}}$ $(q \neq 1)$ be a (q, α, β)-metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ be a Kropina metric on a n-dimensional manifold M $(n \geq 3)$, where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics and β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non-zero collinear 1-forms. Then F and $\bar{F}$ have the same Douglas tensor if and only if they are all Douglas metrics.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious. Suppose that F and $\bar{F}$ have the same Douglas tensor on an n-dimensional manifold M when $n \geq 3$. Then (13) holds. Plugging (5) and (6) into (13), we obtain

$$\frac{A^i\alpha^6 + B^i\alpha^5 + C^i\alpha^4 + D^i\alpha^3 + E^i\alpha^2 + F^i\alpha + H^i}{I\alpha^5 + J\alpha^4 + K\alpha^3 + L\alpha^2 + M\alpha + N} + \frac{\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i}{2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}} = H^i_{00}, \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A^i :=& -2q(1 - qb^2 + q^2b^2)\Big[(1 - qb^2 + q^2b^2)s^i_0 - q(q-1)s_0b^i\Big],\\
B^i :=& \, q\Big[2(p-1)\lambda(1 + qb^2)s_0y^i - 2q(q-1)(q-2)\beta s_0b^i\\
&+4\beta(q-2)(q^2b^2 - qb^2 + 1)s^i_0 + 2\lambda(q-1)(q^2b^2 - qb^2 + 1)r_0y^i\\
&-(q-1)(q^2b^2 - qb^2 + 1)r_{00}b^i\Big],\\
C^i :=& \, q\Big[(q-1)(q^3b^2 - 2q^2b^2 + qb^2 + 2q - 3)\beta r_{00}b^i + \lambda(q-1)(q-2)b^2r_{00}y^i\\
&-2(q-1)\lambda(q^3b^2 - 2q^2b^2 + qb^2 + 2q - 3)\beta r_0y^i\\
&+\big[4qb^2(q+1)(q-1)^2 + (2q^2 + 8q - 12)\big]\beta^2s^i_0\\
&-2\lambda(q-1)(3q^3b^2 - 2b^2q^2 + 2q - b^2q - 3)\beta s_0y^i\\
&-2q(q+1)(q-1)^2\beta^2s_0b^i\Big],\\
D^i :=& \, q(q-1)\beta\Big[(2q^2 - 10q + 6)\lambda\beta s_0y^i - 4(q+1)(q-2)\beta^2s^i_0\\
&-(q+4)(q-1)b^2r_{00}y^i + 3(q-1)\beta r_{00}b^i\Big],\\
E^i :=& -q(q-1)\beta^2\Big[2(q-1)(q+1)^2\beta^2s^i_0 + \lambda\big[2b^2(q+1)(q-1)^2 + (q-2)\big]r_{00}y^i\\
&-2\lambda(q-1)(3q-1)(q+1)\beta s_0y^i - 2\lambda(q+1)(q-1)^2r_0y^i\\
&+(q+1)(q-1)^2\beta r_{00}b^i\Big],\\
F^i :=& -\lambda q(q+4)(q-1)^2\beta^3r_{00}y^i,\\
H^i :=& \, 2\lambda q(q+1)(p-1)^3\beta^4r_{00}y^i.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= -2(-qb^2+q^2b^2+1)^2, \\ J &:= 2\beta(-qb^2+q^2b^2+1)(qb^2-2q^2b^2+q^3b^2+3q-5), \\ K &:= 2\beta^2(-10-q^2+10q+6q^3b^2-12q^2b^2+6qb^2), \\ L &:= -2(q-1)\beta^3(2q^4b^2-2q^3b^2+3q^2-2q^2b^2+2q+2qb^2-10), \\ M &:= 2\beta^4(q+1)(q-5)(q-1)^2, \\ N &:= 2\beta^5(q+1)^2(q-1)^3. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}^i &:= \bar{b}^2\bar{s}^i_0 - \bar{b}^i\bar{s}_0, \\ \bar{B}^i &:= \bar{\beta}[2\lambda y^i(\bar{r}_0+\bar{s}_0) - \bar{b}^i\bar{r}_{00}] \end{aligned}$$

(14) is equivalent to following

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(A^i\alpha^6 &+ B^i\alpha^5 + C^i\alpha^4 + D^i\alpha^3 + E^i\alpha^2 + F^i\alpha + H^i) \\ &+ (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(I\alpha^5 + J\alpha^4 + K\alpha^3 + L\alpha^2 + M\alpha + N) \\ &= \ 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(I\alpha^5 + J\alpha^4 + K\alpha^3 + L\alpha^2 + M\alpha + N)H^i_{00}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

First we show that $\bar{A}^i$ can be divide by $\bar{\beta}$.

By replacing y^i with $-y^i$ in (15), we get the following

$$\begin{aligned} -2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(-A^i\alpha^6 &+ B^i\alpha^5 - C^i\alpha^4 + D^i\alpha^3 - E^i\alpha^2 + F^i\alpha - H^i) \\ &- (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(I\alpha^5 - J\alpha^4 + K\alpha^3 - L\alpha^2 + M\alpha - N) \\ &= \ -2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(I\alpha^5 - J\alpha^4 + K\alpha^3 - L\alpha^2 + M\alpha - N)H^i_{00}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

(15) + (16) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(A^i\alpha^6 + C^i\alpha^4 + E^i\alpha^2 + H^i) &+ (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(J\alpha^4 + L\alpha^2 + N) \\ &= \ 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(J\alpha^4 + L\alpha^2 + N)H^i_{00}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

(15) − (16) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (B^i\alpha^4 + D^i\alpha^2 + F^i)(2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}) &+ (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(I\alpha^4 + K\alpha^2 + M) \\ &= \ 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(I\alpha^4 + K\alpha^2 + M)H^i_{00}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

If $q = -1$ then $H^i = N = M = 0$. Thus (17) and (18) are equivalent to

$$2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(A^i\alpha^4 + C^i\alpha^2 + E^i) + (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(J\alpha^2 + L) = 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(J\alpha^2 + L)H^i_{00} \tag{19}$$

and

$$2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(B^i\alpha^4 + D^i\alpha^2 + F^i) + (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(I\alpha^4 + K\alpha^2) = 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(I\alpha^4 + K\alpha^2)H^i_{00}. \tag{20}$$

By (19) and (20), it results that $(\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(J\alpha^2 + L)$ and $(\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(I\alpha^4 + K\alpha^2)$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Thus $\beta = \mu\bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 I\alpha^4$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Since

$\bar{\beta}$ is prime with respect to α and $\bar{\alpha}$, therefore $\bar{A}^i := \bar{b}^2\bar{s}^i_0 - \bar{b}^i\bar{s}_0$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. If $q \neq 1, -1$, then (17) and (18) implies that $(\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(J\alpha^4 + L\alpha^2 + N)$ and $(\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(I\alpha^4 + K\alpha^2 + M)$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Since $\bar{\beta}$ is prime with respect to α and $\bar{\alpha}$, then $\bar{A}^i := \bar{b}^2\bar{s}^i_0 - \bar{b}^i\bar{s}_0$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Hence, there is a scaler function $\psi^i(x)$ such that

$$\bar{b}^2\bar{s}^i_0 - \bar{b}^i\bar{s}_0 = \psi^i\bar{\beta}. \tag{21}$$

Contracting (21) with $\bar{y}_i := \bar{a}_{ij}y^j$ yields

$$\psi^i(x) = -\bar{s}^i.$$

Then we have

$$\bar{s}_{ij} = \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2}(\bar{b}_i\bar{s}_j - \bar{b}_j\bar{s}_i). \tag{22}$$

Now, suppose that $(n \geq 3)$. Then by Lemma 3.1, $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ is a Douglas metric. Since F and $\bar{F}$ have the same Douglas tensor, then both of them are Douglas metrics.

If $(n = 2)$, then $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ is a Douglas metric by Lemma 3.1. Thus F and $\bar{F}$ having the same Douglas tensors. This means that they are all Douglas metrics. This completes the proof of lemma 3.2. □

On the other hand, the following holds.

Lemma 3.3. [5] Suppose that $\frac{Q}{s} \neq$ *constant* for an $(\alpha, \beta)-$ metric $F = \phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha})$ on a manifold M of dimension n $(n \geq 3)$. If F is a Douglas metric and $b := \|\beta_x\|_\alpha \neq 0$, then β is closed.

Now, we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: We prove the theorem in two cases, as follows.

Case (1): When $q = -1$.
First we proof the necessity. If F is projectively equivalent to $\bar{F}$, then they have the same Douglas tensor. By Lemma 3.2, F and $\bar{F}$ are both Douglas metrics. $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha+\beta}$ is a Douglas metric if and only if $b_{i|j} = 0$. Thus by (49), we have

$$G^i = G^i_\alpha. \tag{23}$$

On the other hand, plugging (22) and (6) into (4) yields

$$\bar{G}^i = \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}\big[-\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + (2\bar{s}_0y^i - \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + 2\frac{\bar{r}_{00}\bar{\beta}y^i}{\bar{\alpha}^2}\big]. \tag{24}$$

By the projective equivalence of F and $\bar{F}$ again, there is a scalar function $P = P(x, y)$ on TM_0 such that $G^i = \bar{G}^i + Py^i$. From (23) and (24) we have

$$\big[P - \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \frac{\bar{r}_{00}\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}^2})\big]y^i = G^i_\alpha - \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i). \tag{25}$$

Note that the right side of (25) is a quadratic in y. Then there exists a 1-form $\theta = \theta_i(x)y^i$ on M such that

$$P - \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \frac{\bar{r}_{00}\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}^2}) = \theta. \tag{26}$$

Thus we have

$$G^i_\alpha = \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i. \tag{27}$$

This completes the proof of the necessity.

Conversely, because of $r_{00} = 0$ and from (23), (24) and (1) we have

$$G^i = \bar{G}^i + \big[\theta + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \frac{\bar{r}_{00}\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}^2})\big]y^i. \tag{28}$$

Thus F is projectively equivalent to $\bar{F}$.

Case (2): When $q \neq 1, -1$.
First we proof the necessity. If F is projectively equivalent to $\bar{F}$ they have the same Douglas tensor. By Lemma 3.2, we know that F and $\bar{F}$ are both Douglas metrics. If $q = 1, -1$, then it is easy to prove that $\phi(s) = (1+s)^q$ satisfies $\frac{Q}{S} \neq \mathit{constant}$. By lemma 3.3, we have $s_{ij} = 0$. By (4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G^i = G^i_\alpha &+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{q(\alpha - 2(q-1)\beta)r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + (1+q(q-1)b^2)\alpha^2}y^i \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{q(q-1)\alpha^2 r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + (1+q(q-1)b^2)\alpha^2}b^i. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

On the other hand, plugging (22) and (6) into (4) yields

$$\bar{G}^i = \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}\big[-\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + (2\bar{s}_0 y^i - \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + 2\frac{\bar{r}_{00}\bar{\beta}y^i}{\bar{\alpha}^2}\big]. \tag{30}$$

By the projective equivalence of F and $\bar{F}$ again, there is a scalar function $P = P(x, y)$ on TM_0 such that $G^i = \bar{G}^i + Py^i$. By (29) and (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} - G^i_\alpha + \big[P - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{q[\alpha - 2(q-1)\beta]r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + [1+(q^2-q)b^2]\alpha^2}\big]y^i \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{(q^2-q)\alpha^2 r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + [1+q(q-1)b^2]\alpha^2}b^i - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i). \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Note that the right side of (31) is a quadratic in y. Then there exists a 1-form $\theta = \theta_i(x)y^i$ on M such that

$$P - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{q(\alpha - 2(q-1)\beta)r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + (1+q(q-1)b^2)\alpha^2} = \theta. \quad (32)$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} G^i_\alpha \, + \, \frac{1}{2}\frac{q(q-1)\alpha^2 r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + (1+q(q-1)b^2)\alpha^2} b^i &= \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} \\ + \, \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i. & \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the necessity.

Conversely, by (1), (23) and (24) we have

$$G^i - \bar{G}^i = \big[\theta + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{q[\alpha - 2(q-1)\beta]r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + [1+(q^2-q)b^2]\alpha^2}\big]y^i.$$

Thus F is projectively equivalent to $\bar{F}$. This completes the proof. □

By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 1.1, we have the following.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^q}{\alpha^{q-1}}$ $(q \neq 1)$ be a (q, α, β) metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ be a Kropina metric on a $n-$ dimensional manifold M $(n \geq 3)$ where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two nonzero collinear 1-forms. Then F is projectively equivalent to $\bar{F}$ if and only if .*

$$\begin{aligned} & G^i_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\frac{q(q-1)\alpha^2 r_{00}}{(1-q^2)\beta^2 + (2-q)\alpha\beta + [1+q(q-1)b^2]\alpha^2} b^i = \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i, \\ & s_{ij} = 0, \\ & \bar{s}_{ij} := \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2}\{\bar{b}_i\bar{s}_j - \bar{b}_j\bar{s}_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

where $b_{i|j}$ denote the coefficients of the covariant derivatives of β with respect to α.

It is well known that the Berwald metric $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^2}{\alpha}$ on a manifold M is a Douglas metric if and only if

$$b_{i|j} = 2\tau[(1+2b^2)a_{ij} - 3b_ib_j], \quad (34)$$

where $\tau = \tau(x)$ is a scalar function on M. Thus by (34) and Theorem 1.1, we have the following.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $F = \frac{(\alpha+\beta)^2}{\alpha}$ be a Berwald metric and $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ be a Kropina metric on a n-dimensional manifold M $(n \geq 3)$ where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two Riemannian metrics, β and $\bar{\beta}$ are two non-zero collinear 1-forms. Then F is projectively related to $\bar{F}$ if and only if they are Douglas metrics and the following holds*

$$G^i_\alpha - \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i - 2\tau\alpha^2 b^i.$$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we are going to prove the Theorem 1.2. More precisely, we find the conditions that an (q, α, β)-metric $F = \frac{\beta^q}{(\beta-\alpha)^{q-1}}$ being projectively equivalent to a Kropina metric. For the (q, α, β)-metric $F = \frac{\beta^q}{(\beta-\alpha)^{q-1}}$, the following are hold

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &= \frac{s^p}{(s-1)^{q-1}},\\
Q &= \frac{s-q}{(q-1)s},\\
\Psi &= \frac{q}{2[s^2(s-1)+q(b^2-s^2)]},\\
\Theta &= \frac{s(s-2q)}{2[s^2(s-1)+q(b^2-s^2)]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

First we prove the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $F = \frac{\beta^q}{(\beta-\alpha)^{q-1}}$ *be an* (q, α, β)*-metric and* $\bar{F} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ *be a Kropina metric on a n-dimensional manifold* M $(n \geq 3)$ *where* α *and* $\bar{\alpha}$ *are two Riemannian metrics and* β *and* $\bar{\beta}$ *are two non-zero collinear 1-forms. Then* F *and* $\bar{F}$ *have the same Douglas tensor if and only if they are all Douglas metrics.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious. Suppose that F and $\bar{F}$ have the same Douglas tensor on an n-dimensional manifold M when $n \geq 3$. Then (13) holds. By plugging (6) and (35) into (13), we obtain

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{8} A^i_j \alpha^j}{\sum_{j=0}^{6} B_j \alpha^j} + \frac{\bar{A}^i \bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i}{2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}} = H^i_{00}, \tag{36}$$

where

$$\begin{align}
A^i_1 &= 2\beta^7 s^i_0 - 3\lambda q(q-1)\beta^5 r_{00} y^i \notag\\
A^i_2 &= 6\lambda\beta^5 s_0 y^i - 2(3q+2)\beta^6 s^i_0 + 2q(q^2-1)\lambda\beta^4 r_{00} y^i \notag\\
A^i_3 &= 2[(q+1)^2 + 2q(q+1)]\beta^5 s^i_0 - 2q(6q+1)\lambda\beta^4 s_0 y^i + q(q-1)\beta^4 r_{00} b^i \notag\\
&\quad + q(q-1)[3\lambda b^2\beta^3 r_{00} y^i - 2\lambda\beta^4 r_0 y^i], \notag\\
A^i_4 &= [2q(q+1)(3q-1) - 6qb^2]\lambda\beta^3 s_0 y^i + 2q(q^2-1)\lambda\beta^2[\beta r_0 - b^2 r_{00}]y^i \notag\\
&\quad - 2q\beta^4[(q+1)^2 - 2b^2]s^i_0 - q\beta^3[2\beta s_0 + (q^2-1)r_{00}]b^i, \notag\\
A^i_5 &= 2q(5q+2)\lambda b^2\beta^2 s_0 y^i - 2q(2q+1)\beta^3[2b^2 s^i_0 - s_0 b^i], \notag\\
A^i_6 &= 2q^2(q+1)\beta^2[2b^2 s^i_0 - s_0 b^i] - 2q^2(3q+1)\lambda b^2\beta s_0 y^i \notag\\
&\quad - q^2(q-1)\lambda b^2\beta[2r_0 y^i - r_{00} b^i], \notag\\
A^i_7 &= 2q^2 b^2\beta(b^2 s^i_0 - s_0 b^i), \tag{37}\\
A^i_8 &= -2q^3 b^2(b^2 s^i_0 - s_0 b^i). \tag{38}
\end{align}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_0 &= 2(q-1)\beta^7\\
B_1 &= -4(q-1)(q+1)\beta^6\\
B_2 &= 2(q-1)(q+1)^2\beta^5\\
B_3 &= 4q(q-1)b^2\beta^4\\
B_4 &= -4q(q^2-1)b^2\beta^3\\
B_5 &= 0\\
B_6 &= 2q^2(q-1)b^4\beta, \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{A}^i &= \bar{b}^2\bar{s}^i_0 - \bar{b}^i\bar{s}_0,\\
\bar{B}^i &= \bar{\beta}[2\lambda y^i(\bar{r}_0+\bar{s}_0) - \bar{b}^i\bar{r}_{00}].
\end{aligned}$$

(36) is equivalent to

$$(\sum_{j=1}^{8} A^i_j\alpha^j)(2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}) + (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(\sum_{j=0}^{6} B_j\alpha^j) = (2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta})(\sum_{j=0}^{6} B_j\alpha^j)H^i_{00}. \qquad (40)$$

By replacing y^i with $-y^i$ in (40) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sum_{j=0}^{3} A^i_{(2j+1)}\alpha^{(2j+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^{4} A^i_{(2j)}\alpha^{(2j)})(-2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta})\\
&\qquad - (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)(\sum_{j=0}^{1} B_{(2j+1)}\alpha^{(2j+1)} - \sum_{j=0}^{3} B_{(2j)}\alpha^{(2j)}) =\\
&\qquad - 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(\sum_{j=0}^{1} B_{(2j+1)}\alpha^{(2j+1)} - \sum_{j=0}^{3} B_{(2j)}\alpha^{(2j)})H^i_{00}. \qquad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

(40) − (41) implies that

$$2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}(\sum_{j=1}^{4} A^i_{(2j)}\alpha^{(2j)}) + (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)\sum_{j=0}^{3} B_{(2j)}\alpha^{(2j)} = 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}\sum_{j=0}^{3} B_{(2j)}\alpha^{(2j)}H^i_{00}. \quad (42)$$

(40) + (41) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}\sum_{j=0}^{3} A^i_{(2j+1)}\alpha^{(2j+1)} &+ (\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{B}^i)\sum_{j=0}^{1} B_{(2j+1)}\alpha^{(2j+1)}\\
&= 2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}\sum_{j=0}^{1} B_{(2j+1)}\alpha^{(2j+1)}H^i_{00}. \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

By $[\frac{\beta}{q}\times(42)]+[(43)\times\alpha]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{B}^i)\big[(\frac{\beta}{q})(B_6\alpha^6)+(\frac{\beta}{q}B_4+B_3)\alpha^4+(\frac{\beta}{q}B_2+B_1)\alpha^2+\frac{\beta}{q}B_0\big]\\
&+(2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta})\big[(\frac{\beta}{q}A^i_6+A^i_5)\alpha^6+(\frac{\beta}{q}A^i_4+A^i_3)\alpha^4+(\frac{\beta}{q}A^i_2+A^i_1)\alpha^2\big]=\\
&(2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta})H^i_{00}\big[(\frac{\beta}{q})(B_6\alpha^6)+(\frac{\beta}{q}B_4+B_3)\alpha^4+(\frac{\beta}{q}B_2+B_1)\alpha^2+\frac{\beta}{q}B_0\big]. \quad (44)
\end{aligned}
$$

All of member of set $\{(\frac{\beta}{q}A^i_j+A^i_{(j-1)}),(\frac{\beta}{q}B_k+B_{(k-1)}),\frac{\beta}{q}B_6,\frac{\beta}{q}B_0\ \ j=6,4,2,\ \ k=4,2\}$ have the factor β^2. Let us put

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^i_j &:= \frac{1}{\beta^2}(\frac{\beta}{q}A^i_j+A^i_{(j-1)}), \quad j=6,4,2\\
C_k &:= \frac{1}{\beta^2}(\frac{\beta}{q}B_k+B_{(k-1)}), \quad k=4,2\\
C_6 &:= \frac{1}{q\beta}B_6=2q(q-1)b^4,\\
C_0 &:= \frac{1}{q\beta}B_0. \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\frac{1}{\beta^2}\times(44)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{B}^i)\ \ &\big[C_6\alpha^6+C_4\alpha^4+C_2\alpha^2+C_0\big]+2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}\big[D^i_6\alpha^6+D^i_4\alpha^4+D^i_2\alpha^2\big]\\
&=2\bar{b}^2\bar{\beta}H^i_{00}\big[C_6\alpha^6+C_4\alpha^4+C_2\alpha^2+C_0\big]. \qquad (46)
\end{aligned}
$$

By (42) and (46), it follows that $(\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{B}^i)(\sum_{j=0}^{3}B_{(2j)}\alpha^{(2j)})$ and $(\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{B}^i)\big[C_6\alpha^6+C_4\alpha^4+C_2\alpha^2+C_0\big]$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Thus $\beta=\mu\bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{A}^i\bar{\alpha}^2C_6\alpha^4$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Since $\bar{\beta}$ is prime with respect to α and $\bar{\alpha}$, then $\bar{A}^i:=\bar{b}^2\bar{s}^i_0-\bar{b}^i\bar{s}_0$ can be divided by $\bar{\beta}$. Hence, there is a scaler function $\psi^i(x)$ such that

$$\bar{b}^2\bar{s}^i_0-\bar{b}^i\bar{s}_0=\psi^i\bar{\beta}. \qquad (47)$$

Contracting (47) with $\bar{y}_i:=\bar{a}_{ij}y^j$ yields $\psi^i(x)=-\bar{s}^i$. Then we have

$$\bar{s}_{ij}=\frac{1}{\bar{b}^2}(\bar{b}_i\bar{s}_j-\bar{b}_j\bar{s}_i). \qquad (48)$$

Now, suppose that $(n\geq 3)$. Then by Lemma 3.1, $\bar{F}=\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ is a Douglas metric. Since F and $\bar{F}$ have the same Douglas tensor, both of them are Douglas metrics.

If $(n=2)$, $\bar{F}=\frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}}$ is a Douglas metric by Lemma 3.1. Thus F and $\bar{F}$ having the same Douglas tensor means that they are all Douglas metrics. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1 □

Now, we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: First, we proof the necessity. If F is projectively related to $\bar{F}$, then they have the same Douglas tensor. By Lemma 3.2, F and $\bar{F}$ are both Douglas metrics. If $q = 1, -1$, then it is easy to prove that $\phi(s) = \frac{s^q}{(s-1)^{q-1}}$ satisfies $\frac{Q}{S} \neq constant$. By Lemma 3.3, it results that $s_{ij} = 0$. By (49), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G^i = G^i_\alpha &+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta(\beta - 2q\alpha)r_{00}}{\beta^2(\beta - \alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha} y^i \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{q\alpha^3 r_{00}}{\beta^2(\beta - \alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha} b^i. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Plugging (48) and (6) into (4) yields

$$\bar{G}^i = \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}\big[-\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + (2\bar{s}_0 y^i - \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + 2\frac{\bar{r}_{00}\bar{\beta}y^i}{\bar{\alpha}^2}\big]. \tag{50}$$

By assumption, there is a scalar function $P = P(x, y)$ on TM_0 such that $G^i = \bar{G}^i + Py^i$. Then by (49) and (50) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[P - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta(\beta - 2q\alpha)r_{00}}{\beta^2(\beta - \alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha} - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i)\Big]y^i \\ &= G^i_\alpha - \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{q\alpha^3 r_{00}}{\beta^2(\beta - \alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha} b^i. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The right side of (51) is a quadratic in y. Then there exists a 1-form $\theta = \theta_i(x)y^i$ on M such that

$$P - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta(\beta - 2q\alpha)r_{00}}{\beta^2(\beta - \alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha} - \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) = \theta. \tag{52}$$

Thus we get

$$G^i_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\frac{q\alpha^3 r_{00}}{\beta^2(\beta - \alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha} b^i = \bar{G}^i_{\bar{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{\alpha}^2\bar{s}^i + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i) + \theta y^i. \tag{53}$$

This completes the proof of the necessity.

Conversely, from (49), (50) and (2) we have

$$G^i = \bar{G}^i + \big[\theta + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta(\beta - 2q\alpha)r_{00}}{\beta^2(\beta - \alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha} + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2}(\bar{s}_0 + \bar{r}_{00}\bar{b}^i)\big]y^i. \tag{54}$$

Thus F is projectively equivalent to $\bar{F}$. This completes the proof. □

By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 1.2, we have the following.

Corollary 4.1. *Let* $F = \frac{\beta^q}{(\beta-\alpha)^{q-1}}$ $(q \neq 1, -1)$ *be a* (q, α, β)*-metric and* $\bar F = \frac{\bar\alpha^2}{\bar\beta}$ *be a Kropina metric on a* n*-dimensional manifold* M $(n \geq 3)$ *where* α *and* $\bar\alpha$ *are two Riemannian metrics,* β *and* $\bar\beta$ *are two nonzero collinear 1-forms. Then* F *is projectively related to* $\bar F$ *if and only if the following holds*

$$\begin{aligned} G^i_\alpha - \bar G^i_{\bar\alpha} &= \frac{1}{2\bar b^2}(\bar\alpha^2 \bar s^i + \bar r_{00}\bar b^i) + \theta y^i - \frac{1}{2}\frac{q\alpha^3 r_{00}}{\beta^2(\beta-\alpha) + q(b^2\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\alpha} b^i, \quad (55) \\ s_{ij} &= 0, \quad (56) \\ \bar s_{ij} &= \frac{1}{\bar b^2}\{\bar b_i \bar s_j - \bar b_j \bar s_i\}. \quad (57) \end{aligned}$$

where $b_{i|j}$ *denote the coefficients of the covariant derivatives of* β *with respect to* α*.*

References

[1] S. Bácsó and M. Matsumoto, *On Finsler spaces of Douglas type, A generalization of notion of Berwald space*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. **51**(1997), 385-406.

[2] G. Chen and X. Cheng, *A class of Finsler metrics projectively related to a Randers metric*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. (2012), In Print.

[3] N. Cui and Y. B. Shen, *Projective change between two classes of* (α, β)*-metrics*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **27**(2009), 566-573.

[4] V.K. Kropina, *On projective Finsler spaces with a certain special form*, Naučn. Doklady vyss. Skoly, fiz.-mat. Nauki, 1959(2) (1960), 38-42, (Russian).

[5] B. Li, Y. Shen and Z. Shen, *On a class of Douglas metrics*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungarica. **46**(3) (2009), 355-365.

[6] M. Matsumoto, *Finsler spaces with* (α, β)*-metric of Douglas type*, Tensor, N. S. **60**(1998), 123-134.

[7] M. Matsumoto, *A slope of a mountain is a Finsler surface with respect to a time measure*, J. Math. Kyoto. Univ. **29**(1989), 17-25.

[8] F. Mu and X. Cheng, *On the projective equivalence between* (α, β)*-metrics and Kropina metrics*, Diff. Geom. Dynam. System. **14**(2012), 105-116.

[9] B. Najafi, Z. Shen and A. Tayebi, *On a projective class of Finsler metrics*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. **70**(2007), 211-219.

[10] B. Najafi and A. Tayebi, *Finsler Metrics of scalar flag curvature and projective invariants*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. **15**(2010), 90-99.

[11] Z. Shen, *On projectively related Einstein metrics in Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Math. Ann. **320**(2001), 625-647.

[12] Y. Shen and Y. Yu, *On projectively related Randers metric*, Int. J. Math. **19**(2008), 503-520.

[13] H. Shimada and S.V. Sabau, *An introduction to Matsumoto metric*, Nonlinear Analysis: RWA. **63**(2005) 165-168.

[14] A. Tayebi and E. Peyghan, *On Ricci tensors of Randers metrics*, J. Geom. Phys. **60**(2010), 1665-1670.

[15] A. Tayebi and E. Peyghan, *On Douglas surfaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Science. Math. Roumanie. Tome **55**(103), No 3, (2012), 327-335.

[16] A. Tayebi and E. Peyghan, *On a subclass of generalized Douglas-Weyl metrics*, J. Contemp. Math. Anal. **47**(2) (2012), 69-80.

[17] A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan and H. Sadeghi, *On Matsumoto-type Finsler metrics*, Nonlinear Analysis: RWA. **13**(2012), 2556-2561.

[18] M. Zohrehvand and M. M. Rezaii, *On projectively related of two special classes of* (α, β)*-metrics*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **29**(5) (2011), 660-669.

Akbar Tayebi and Hassan Sadeghi
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
University of Qom
Qom. Iran
Email: akbar.tayebi@gmail.com

Esmaeil Peyghan
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Arak University
Arak 38156-8-8349, Iran
Email: epeyghan@gmail.com